

N. BOUZID *et al.* (1982) *Phytochemistry* **21**, 803. The authors regret that lines 12 and 13 on p. 803 should read 'as the λ_{\max} of Band II was lower than 280 nm in MeOH and that Band I appeared as a single peak in the presence of $\text{AlCl}_3 + \text{HCl}$, the compound was substituted at C-6 by a methoxyl group and at C-3 by a proton [Voinin, B., unpublished results].'

T. K. RAZDAN *et al.* (1982) *Phytochemistry* **21**, 923. The Publishers regret the occurrence of the following misprints: in Table 2, H-2' should be H-1' and H-3' should be H-2'; and the fundamental structure should have appeared as

